#### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

THE HON	NOURABLE
<b>JUSTICE</b>	PERELL

WEDNESDAY, THE 3<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER, 2012

BETWEEN:

GIOVANNI SPINA, JOHN SPINA DRUGS LTD., ROMEO VANDENBURG and ROMEO VANDENBURG DRUG COMPANY LTD.

**Plaintiffs** 

AND

SHOPPERS DRUG MART INC., SHOPPERS DRUG MART CORPORATION and 919979 ALBERTA LTD.

**Defendants** 

PROCEEDING UNDER THE CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT, 1992

### ORDER (Motion to Strike and Motion for Certification)

THIS MOTION, made by the Plaintiffs for an order certifying this action as a class proceeding pursuant to the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992* S.O. 1992, c.6, and this motion made by Defendants for an order pursuant to Rule 21.01(1)(a) and (b) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194 to strike certain claims asserted by the Plaintiffs, were heard on August 27-30, 2012 at Toronto, Ontario.

**ON READING** the motion records and facta of the parties, filed, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the parties:

- 1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the following claims are struck out, without leave to amend:
  - a. the Advantages of Bulk Purchasing/Rebates Claims, asserting causes of action for breach of contract, breach of the statutory duty of fair dealing under the *Arthur Wishart Act (Franchise Disclosure)*, S.O 2000, c. 6 ("AWA") (and under other comparable provincial franchise legislation), breach of the common law duty of good faith, breach of duty as agent, and unjust enrichment;
  - b. claims for breach of fiduciary duty;
  - c. claims for breach of the duty of disclosure.
  - 2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Plaintiffs' claim that the Defendants have interfered with their right to associate with other franchisees contrary to the *AWA* (and under other comparable provincial franchise legislation) and at common law is struck out, with leave to amend.
  - 3. THIS COURT ORDERS that the balance of the Defendants' motion is dismissed.
  - 4. **THIS COURT DECLARES** that the following causes of action satisfy section 5(1)(a) of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*, S.O. 1992, c. 6:

a. the Professional Allowances Claims, asserting causes of action for breach

of contract, breach of statutory duty of fair dealing and/or common law

duty of good faith, and unjust enrichment;

b. the Cost Recovery Fees Claims, asserting causes of action for breach of

contract, breach of statutory duty of fair dealing and/or common law duty

of good faith, and unjust enrichment;

c. the Budgeting Practices Claims, asserting causes of action for breach of

contract, breach of statutory duty of fair dealing and/or common law duty

of good faith; and

d. the Inventory Practices Claim asserting causes of action for breach of

contract, breach of statutory duty of fair dealing and/or common law duty

of good faith, and unjust enrichment

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the balance of the motion to certify this action as a

class proceeding is adjourned to a date to be scheduled.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that costs shall be determined at the completion of the

certification motion.

ENTERED AT / INSCRIT A TORONTO

ON / BOOK NO:

LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:

P. Perell J.

Perse. T.

OCT 1 5 2013

Plaintiffs

and

GIOVANNI SPINA et al.

Defendants

### SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE Proceeding commenced at ONTARIO

TORONTO

## (Motion to Strike and Motion for Certification) ORDER

# PALIARE ROLAND ROSENBERG ROTHSTEIN LLP

Barristers

155 Wellington Street, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M5V 3H1

Ken Rosenberg (LSUC #21102H) Tel.: 416.646.4304

email: ken.rosenberg@paliareroland.com

Lily I. Harmer (LSUC #31880T)

Tel.: 416.646.4326

email: lily.harmer@paliareroland.com

Fax: 416.646.4301

Lawyers for the Plaintiffs